

### ***Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary***

Application No.

10/605,819

[illegible]

OKITA ET AL.

**Examiner**

Tho D. Ta

## Art Unit

2833

### All Participants:

(1) Tho D. Ta.

(2) Wei Te Chung.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 March 2004

Time: pm

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

8-12, 13, 18

**Prior art documents discussed:**

US 6,454,587

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant's representative agreed to the changes indicate in examiner's amendment in order to define over the prior art and to place the claims in better form for allowance.*

### Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Modul 7

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)